

WE DON'T WANT YOUR VIEWS ON WAR - YOU LIED ABOUT VIETNAM
by Leonard Magruder

We have in our archives a rare book, although some libraries have it, containing 118 of the most important pieces of literature handed out by the antiwar movement between the years 1964 and 1974. *Mutiny Does Not Happen Lightly: the Literature of the American Resistance to the Vietnam War*. Edited by G. Louis Heath, a professor of sociology at Illinois State University, it was published in 1976 MB, "selected so as to present an accurate cross-section of the American resistance to the Vietnam War during 1964-1974."

Containing mostly information on Who, What, Where of the various demonstrations and marches, we, however, are interested in the Why. We carefully went through all 597 pages of this book for all material that focused on the reasons for the anti-war protests. Here are all the statements of that type that we found. The essence of what the anti-war movement told others as to what the war was all about, is found here.

FROM THE LITERATURE OF THE WAR PROTESTS OF THE 60'S (Our comments added):

"The May 2nd movement is launching an anti-induction campaign on the campuses. ...based on the refusal to fight against the people of Vietnam. Some chapters of May 2 plan to campaign to donate blood and other medical aid to the National Liberation Front of South Vietnam (Viet Cong) to concretely show our support for national liberation struggles. Receiving blood from U.S. college students will be a terrific morale booster for the Vietnamese people."

May 2nd Movement- Sept. 8, 1965

(comment: a little aid and comfort from a U.S. branch of the Viet Cong)

"The game of the rich has caught up to Pig America. The Vietnamese have kicked ass out of U.S. occupational troops. More and more G.I.'s will no longer listen to Pig Nixon's orders and are turning their guns around on the real enemy. The Provisional Revolutionary Government in Vietnam (Viet Cong) has led the Vietnamese people to complete victory."

Roxboro School SDS- Cleveland Heights - June 4, 1972

(comment: by 1972 the Americans had won all five major offensives at a KIA (killed in action) ratio of 15 to 1, and South Vietnam was 95% pacified. After the Americans fought the enemy to a peace treaty and left, South Vietnam defended itself for two years until bitter anti-war Democrats in Congress betrayed them by cutting off their ammunition. These are the kinds of elementary facts that students never seem to know.)

"Recently many articles have appeared in the movement press expounding the virtues of deserting and going AWOL. 'Come to Canada and be a man.' 'Soldiers are pigs.' 'To remain in the imperialist U.S. Army rather than leaving is comparable to being a Nazi.' Last year there were, by Pentagon counts, 250,000 AWOL's and over 53,000 deserters. This has not made much of a dent in the fighting strength of the U.S. Army. That dent has clearly come from the heroic struggle of the Vietnamese people under the leadership of the NLF and the Provisional Revolutionary Government."

New York Regional SDS distributed at Boston University - Feb. 22, 1969

(comment: you really had to be gullible to join the anti-war movement)

Letter from Ho Chi Minh to a radical activist in Youth Against War and Fascism, Free University of New York:

"My Dear -----

I have received your letter. You and the progressive American people, especially the youth, feel indignant at the barbarous crimes perpetrated in Vietnam by the U.S. imperialists who have thus besmeared the honor of the American people and the noble traditions of the United States. I am glad to learn that you and many other young Americans are actively endeavoring under varied forms to help push forward the movement against the war of aggression in Vietnam and in support of the Vietnamese people. With affectionate greetings, Signed, Uncle Ho"

June 18, Nov. 25, 1965

(comment: congratulations on your treason from Uncle Ho)

"The U.S. government is planning shortly to order the bombing of Haiphong, an industrial city of half a million people, which is Hanoi's seaport, and of Hanoi itself. The U.S. also plans to bomb the system of dikes in the Democratic Republic of Vietnam which keeps the North Vietnamese from drowning and starving. Just as the U.S. is attempting to drown in blood the liberation struggle of the South Vietnamese people because it is the model for liberation struggles everywhere, so North Vietnam is being bombed to bits because it shows all colonial and former colonial countries, by living example, that Socialism can solve their problems."

Youth Against War and Fascism, Free University of New York - Aug. 27, 1966

(comment: no one bombed any dikes. Leftist editor Harrison Salisbury started this myth.)

"As far as the Vietnamese are concerned, we are fighting on the side of Hitlerism, and they hope we lose. Most people support the NLF. Why? The war in Vietnam is not being fought according to the rules. Prisoners are tortured. Our planes drop incendiary bombs on civilian villages. Our soldiers shoot at women and children. Your officers will tell you that it is all necessary, that we couldn't win the war any other way. We believe that the atrocities which are necessary to win this war against the people of Vietnam are inexcusable."

Vietnam Day Committee, San Francisco - Aug. 2, 1966.

(comment: spreading atrocity lies was a specialty.)

"It is important for us to tell people why the demands of the NLF and the PRG represent the only hope for peace, independence and unity in Vietnam. To anyone who knows the political-military situation in Vietnam, to declare for immediate withdrawal is to support the NLF without saying it. What is important...is to show that Vietnam is only a place where U.S. policies of neocolonialism have met with active resistance."

Stanford University - November 15, 1969

(comment: hypocrisy was another specialty)

"Just when Westmoreland was boasting that there were only small guerrilla groups left, he was hit in October 1967 with a division-sized unit. While he was explaining that this was a desperate last fling, he was hit by another division-sized unit. The U.S. forces never recovered from this.

Westmoreland started panic measures. Forced to disperse, he opened the way for the NLF's mighty Tet offensive in late January that sealed the fate of the 'limited war' because from then on Westmoreland, and General Abrams after him were forced onto the strategic and tactical defensive." Radical Student Union - Univ. of California- Berkeley- Dec. 11, 1969

(comment: in the "mighty" Tet Offensive the enemy lost 40,000 dead, half his forces, and the Viet Cong was decimated, never again a credible force. The allies lost 1,231. This is what CBS's Walter Cronkite called a "stalemate.")

"I want Spiro Agnew to know that I bring this assembly a message of greetings and solidarity with the American people from the Viet Cong. I want Agnew to know that this generation is establishing its own diplomatic relations, because we are not at war with the people of Vietnam. Our war is with the Pentagon, Wall Street, and Spiro T. Agnew. Nixon plans to win...by withdrawing enough troops to deflate antiwar sentiments at home, while fortifying major cities like Hue and Saigon and from this position of fortification carry out the raging air war against the countryside that most students of Vietnam now understand is controlled by some 80% of the National Liberation Front."

Speech by Rennie Davis, San Francisco Peace Rally - Nov. 15, 1969

(comment: 80% !! ole' Rennie in solidarity with Viet Cong lies. Could subversion be more obvious? Student leaders just made up things and everybody, like sheep, believed them.)

"The resistance of the people of South Vietnam is an indigenous movement of politically and religiously diverse groups and individuals which was organized in response to years of oppression and illegal action by the U.S. government and its various 'puppet' regimes in Saigon. In order to counter the U.S. government's propaganda --which falsely teaches the public that the 'enemy' is an outside, 'communist' aggressor - we will continue to make use of various educational means. The U.S. government is trying to stifle, at tremendous cost and risk, a liberation struggle which is setting the example for all oppressed peoples."

The U.S. Committee to aid the National Liberation Front of South Vietnam (Viet Cong) New York City, - May 10, 1966

(comment: apparently professors forgot to tell them there was an "outside enemy," called the Communist North)

By the simple device of charging American soldiers with aggression against the "freedom fighters" of the Viet Cong, legions of students, using this excuse to justify their "moral outrage" (and avoid the draft), engineered a movement that spread to the gullible throughout the nation, helping to defeat a noble cause to bring freedom to others. Not a single one of these attacks on the war mentions that America was helping South Vietnam to fight Communist aggression.

As Jamie Glazov, noted historian, once pointed out on FrontPageMag:

"The most putrid lie of the Left - was the assumption that the U.S. was somehow fighting the people of South Vietnam, when it in fact was actually fighting the Communists who were seeking to imprison them."

And today they are crawling back in bed with those same old toothless hags of the 60's- "aggression," "immoral," "imperialism"-and re-cycling the same old lies.

As late as the thirtieth anniversary of the Vietnam War, Stephen Young could write, "Our national recollection of the war matches that of the New Left." Because that is what is preserved and taught in our universities. For thirty years, for example, they have continued to use Karnow's, "Vietnam: A History", a work so biased that when presented as a PBS series people who had been there rioted in Los Angeles, New Orleans, New York, Houston, and Paris.

The election of 2004 was a massive repudiation by Vietnam vets of the New Left version of the war. The new Vietnam Veterans Legacy Foundation says they lied. The above article makes it very clear that they lied.

Think of the possibilities. If a significant number of those on the other side see this article, and a significant number of reporters see it, and it shows in the very words of the 60's protestors how they lied, and the university still says there is nothing to debate, then we have a national scandal over academic corruption, and those who support the troops will win the election. Or maybe Vietnam vet groups should simply march up on campus and demand debate. But one way or the other, the "haughty derision" and "ostracism" (Moyar) of academics on the subject of Vietnam is coming to an end.

magruder44@aol.com

v-v-a-r.org

1-785-312-9303

This article may be reproduced in any form. Copy this article, distribute, add us as a link.